

CTP TAMU-78/96
 hep-th/9612220
 December 1996

Chiral Reductions of the M-Algebra

Ergin Sezgin^a

*Center for Theoretical Physics, Texas A&M University,
 College Station, Texas 77843, U.S.A.*

ABSTRACT

We present the chiral truncation of the eleven dimensional M-algebra down to ten and six dimensions. In ten dimensions, we obtain a topological extension of the $(1, 0)$ Poincaré superalgebra that includes super one-form and super five-form charges. Closed super three- and seven-forms associated with this algebra are constructed. In six dimensions, we obtain a topological extension of the $(2, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$ Poincaré superalgebras. The former includes a quintet of super one-form charges, and a decuplet of super three-form charges, while the latter includes a triplet of super three-form charges.

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF ABDUS SALAM

^aResearch supported in part by NSF Grant PHY-9411543

It is well known that Poincaré superalgebras are modified in presence of p -brane solitons^{1,2}. An interesting case to consider is the modification of the eleven dimensional Poincaré superalgebra due to the existence of the supermembrane³ and superfivebrane solitons⁴. Recently we have obtained such a modification, which we have called the M-algebra⁵. This algebra contains a number of subalgebras that have been obtained previously^{6,7,8,9,10}. Due to lack of space, we shall not review the motivations for the M-algebra, and the assumptions we have made to obtain it. Suffice it to mention, however, that it contains super one-, two- and five-form charges. In particular, the latter two are associated with the supermembrane and superfivebrane solitons of M-theory.

The purpose of this note is to construct the analog of the M-algebra algebra in ten and six dimensions, where chiral (p, q) superalgebras exist, i.e. those which admit independent p left- and q right-handed supercharges, with $p \neq q$. Assuming Lorentzian signature, chiral superalgebras exist in $D = 2, 6, 10$. However, the two dimensional target space is rather special and requires a separate treatment. Here, we shall concentrate on six and ten dimensions. Furthermore, we will discuss mostly the $(1, 0)$ and $(2, 0)$ symmetries. The remaining case of $(2, 1)$ symmetry in six dimensions is also special, in that we are not aware of any field theoretic realizations for it. We shall not consider this case any further in this note.

The construction of the M-type algebras in ten and six dimensions will be achieved by dimensional reduction of the eleven dimensional M-algebra, followed by suitable chiral truncations. To establish our notation, let us begin by defining the the Poincaré supercharges $Q_A = (P_\mu, Q_\alpha)$ which generate supertranslations in flat $D = 11$ superspace parametrized by the coordinates $Z^M = (X^\mu, \theta^\alpha)$, $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 10$, where θ^α are anticommuting 32 component Majorana spinors. The M-algebra contains the additional charges Z^A , Z^{AB} and $Z^{A_1 \dots A_5}$, the latter two being totally graded antisymmetric. The nonvanishing (anti) commutation rules of the M-algebra are given by⁵

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu P_\mu + \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\mu + \gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5 \alpha\beta} Z^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} , \\
[P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\beta - \gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\nu\beta} - \gamma_{\mu\nu_1 \dots \nu_4 \alpha\beta} Z^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_4 \beta} , \\
[P_\mu, P_\nu] &= \gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha\beta} + \gamma_{\mu\nu\mu_1 \dots \mu_3 \alpha\beta} Z^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_3 \alpha\beta} , \\
[Q_\alpha, Z^\mu] &= (1 - \lambda - \tau) \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^\beta , \\
[P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_\lambda^\mu (\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu Z^{\alpha\beta} - 3 \gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} Z^{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}) + 3 \gamma_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} , \\
[Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\nu}] &= -\frac{\lambda}{10} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} Z^\beta + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^{\nu\beta} - 6 \gamma_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\beta} , \\
[P_\mu, Z^{\nu\alpha}] &= -2 \delta_\mu^\nu \gamma_{\lambda\tau\beta\gamma} Z^{\lambda\tau\alpha\beta\gamma} + 10 \gamma_{\mu\tau\beta\gamma} Z^{\nu\tau\alpha\beta\gamma} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\beta}\} &= \frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_\gamma^\mu Z^{\gamma\delta} + 2\gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\mu Z^{\beta\gamma} + \frac{3}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\nu\rho\gamma\delta} Z^{\mu\nu\rho\gamma\delta} + 6\gamma_{\nu\rho\alpha\gamma} Z^{\mu\nu\rho\beta\gamma} , \\
[Q_\gamma, Z^{\alpha\beta}] &= -5\gamma_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\delta} - \delta_\gamma^\alpha \gamma_{\mu\nu\delta\epsilon} Z^{\mu\nu\beta\delta\epsilon} , \\
[P_\mu, Z^{\alpha\beta}] &= -3\gamma_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} Z^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \\
[Z^{\mu\nu}, Z^{\rho\sigma}] &= -3\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} , \\
[Z^{\mu\nu}, Z^{\rho\alpha}] &= -6\gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\alpha\beta\gamma} + 2\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} , \\
[Z^{\mu\nu}, Z^{\alpha\beta}] &= -2\gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\mu Z^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \\
\{Z^{\mu\alpha}, Z^{\nu\beta}\} &= -3\gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\mu Z^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} , \\
[Z^{\mu\alpha}, Z^{\beta\gamma}] &= 6\gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} , \\
[Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}] &= -\frac{\tau}{720}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5} Z^\beta + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_5} Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_4\beta} , \\
[P_\lambda, Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}] &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_\lambda^{\mu_1} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_2} Z^{\mu_3\cdots\mu_5\alpha\beta} , \\
\{Q_\alpha, Z^{\beta\mu_1\cdots\mu_4}\} &= \frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_\gamma^{\mu_1} Z^{\mu_2\cdots\mu_4\gamma\delta} + 2\gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\mu_1} Z^{\mu_2\cdots\mu_4\beta\gamma} , \\
[P_\lambda, Z^{\beta\mu_1\cdots\mu_4}] &= 2\delta_\lambda^{\mu_1} \gamma_\gamma^{\mu_2} Z^{\mu_2\mu_3\beta\gamma\delta} , \\
[Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\nu\rho\beta\gamma}] &= \delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\gamma\delta\epsilon} + 5\gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\beta\gamma\epsilon} , \\
[P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\rho\beta\gamma}] &= -\delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\nu Z^{\rho\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} , \\
\{Q_\delta, Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}\} &= -\frac{3}{10}\delta_\delta^\alpha \gamma_{\epsilon\kappa}^\mu Z^{\nu\beta\gamma\epsilon\kappa} - \frac{6}{5}\gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} , \\
[P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}] &= -\frac{3}{5}\delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\nu Z^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} , \\
[Q_\beta, Z^{\mu\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_4}] &= \delta_\beta^{\alpha_1} \gamma_\gamma^\mu Z^{\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_4\gamma\delta} + \frac{7}{2}\gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\mu Z^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_4\gamma} .
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where it is understood that the obvious symmetries of indices on the left hand side are to be implemented on the right hand side, with unit strength (anti) symmetrizations. Thus, all free bosonic indices are to be antisymmetrized, and all free fermionic indices are to be symmetrized with unit strength. The parameters λ and τ are arbitrary.

Several properties of the above algebra have already been discussed in Ref. [5]. It should be stressed that this algebra is clearly not isomorphic to $OSp(1|32)$, nor is it related to it any obvious way. A related remark is that the M-algebra does not seem to indicate a $10+2$ dimensional origin, or hint at any obvious $10+2$ dimensional extension, which we consider to be an interesting open problem. It is also worth mentioning that the the existence of the M-algebra is nontrivial, and requires that the following γ -matric identity to be satisfied:

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu(\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^\nu = 0 , \tag{2}$$

which holds in $D = 4, 5, 7, 11$ ¹¹. Relevant consequences of this identity are

$$\gamma_{\lambda(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 3\gamma_{(\alpha\beta}^{[\mu\nu}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\rho\sigma]} = 0 , \quad (3)$$

$$\gamma_{\mu(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{10}\gamma_{\mu\nu(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma_{\mu(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{720}\gamma_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5} = 0 . \quad (5)$$

The generators Z^A decouple from the algebra if we set $\lambda = \tau = 0$ and redefine the translation generator as $P_\mu + Z_\mu \equiv P'_\mu$. It is also easy to see that the generators $Z^{A_1\cdots A_5}$ can be Wigner-İnönü contracted away. However, it does not seem to be possible to Wigner-İnönü contract the generators Z^{AB} while maintaining Z^A and/or $Z^{A_1\cdots A_5}$. This can also be understood from the fact that the $\{Q, \{Q, Q\}\}$ Jacobi identity requires the γ -matrix identity (3), in which the second term originates from the $Z^{\mu\nu}$ generator.

The M-algebra can be exponentiated to describe the corresponding supergroup manifold. Denoting collectively by $T_{\hat{A}}$ all the generators of the M-algebra, and by $Z^{\hat{M}}$ the corresponding supergroup manifold coordinates, we can define the basis super one-forms as follows

$$e^{\hat{A}} = dZ^{\hat{M}} (U^{-1}\partial_{\hat{M}}U)^{\hat{A}} , \quad (6)$$

where U is an element of the supergroup, which can be parametrized in a variety of ways⁵.

It is useful to construct closed super-forms that may facilitate the construction of the required Wess-Zumino terms, in search for novel super p -brane actions based on the M-algebra. To this end let us consider the following super-forms:

$$H_3 = e^\mu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} , \quad (7)$$

$$H_4 = \frac{1}{4}e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} , \quad (8)$$

$$H_7 = H_4 \wedge H_3 . \quad (9)$$

Using the Maurer-Cartan structure equations based on the M-algebra, one can show that these forms are indeed closed. Locally we can define the corresponding potentials as $H_3 = dC_2$, $H_4 = dC_3$ and $H_7 = dC_6$, where

$$C_2 = -e^\alpha \wedge e'_\alpha , \quad (10)$$

$$C_3 = -\frac{1}{6}e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{20}e^\mu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{30}e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e_{\alpha\beta} , \quad (11)$$

$$C_6 = C_3 \wedge H_3 . \quad (12)$$

There is an alternative, and possibly more significant, example of a closed super seven-form given by

$$H'_7 = \frac{1}{5!} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_5} \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5 \alpha \beta} + H_4 \wedge C_3 , \quad (13)$$

Indeed $dH_7 = 0$, and writing $H_7 = dC_6$, we find that C_6 is given by⁵

$$\begin{aligned} C'_6 = & \frac{1}{5! \times 77} \left(-\frac{77}{3} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_5} \wedge e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} + \frac{281}{6} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_4} \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4 \alpha} \right. \\ & + \frac{104}{3} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\rho \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} - \frac{47}{6} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ & + 5 e^\mu \wedge e^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\alpha_4} \wedge e_{\mu\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_4} - \frac{5}{9} e^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\alpha_5} \wedge e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5} \\ & - \frac{131}{3} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\rho \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e_{\mu\nu} \wedge e_{\rho\alpha} + \frac{50}{3} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e_{\mu\nu} \wedge e_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \left. + \frac{20}{3} e^\mu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta\gamma} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The pull-backs of the C -forms to suitable worldvolumes are candidate Wess-Zumino terms for the corresponding super p -branes. For a further discussion of this point, we refer the reader to Ref. [5].

We now turn to the main point of this note, namely the chiral reductions of the M-algebra down to ten and six dimensions. We begin by reduction to ten dimensions. The single Majorana spinor of eleven dimensions decomposes into a left- and a right-handed Majorana-Weyl spinor in ten dimensions. Using a well established chiral notation, we denote the left-handed spinors by upper spinor indices, and the right-handed ones by lower spinor indices, and it is understood that there can be no raising and lowering of indices. For example, the left-handed supercharges that generate the $(1,0)$ supersymmetry will be denoted by Q^α , and the right-handed ones that generate the $(0,1)$ supersymmetry by Q_α , where $\alpha = 1, \dots, 16$.

Keeping all the generators in ten dimensions clearly yields the M-extension of Type IIA Poincaré superalgebra. Keeping only $Q_A, Z^A, Z^{A_1 \dots A_5}$ gives rise to the M-extension of the $(1,0)$ Poincaré superalgebra that underlies the heterotic string. This is not obvious. One way to show that the resulting algebra indeed satisfies the Jacobi identities is to perform an elaborate Wigner-İnönü contraction scheme, followed by redefinition of generators that carry the same Lorentz representations. Another approach is to keep the aforementioned generators in the algebra and to explicitly check the integrability of the Maurer-Cartan structure equations. Having performed the full calculation in the case of eleven dimensional M-algebra⁵, it turns out to be easier to follow the latter procedure. In doing so, it is important to note that the analogs of the γ -matrix identities in ten dimensions are

$$\gamma_{\lambda(\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0 , \quad (15)$$

$$\gamma_{\mu(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^\mu = 0 , \quad (16)$$

$$\gamma_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5(\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\gamma\delta)}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5} = 0 . \quad (17)$$

Thus, we find that the M-extension of the (1,0) Poincaré superalgebra in ten dimensions is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu P_\mu + \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\mu + \gamma_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5} , \\ [P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\beta - \gamma_{\mu\nu_1\cdots\nu_4\alpha\beta} Z^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_4\beta} , \\ [P_\mu, P_\nu] &= \gamma_{\mu\nu\mu_1\cdots\mu_3\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_3\alpha\beta} , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^\mu] &= -\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^\beta , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}] &= -\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5} Z^\beta + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_5} Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_4\beta} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}] &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_\lambda^{\mu_1} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_2} Z^{\mu_3\cdots\mu_5\alpha\beta} , \\ \{Q_\alpha, Z^{\beta\mu_1\cdots\mu_4}\} &= \frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu_1} Z^{\mu_2\cdots\mu_4\gamma\delta} + 2\gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\mu_1} Z^{\mu_2\cdots\mu_4\beta\gamma} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\beta\mu_1\cdots\mu_4}] &= 2\delta_\lambda^{\mu_1} \gamma_{\gamma\delta}^{\mu_2} Z^{\mu_3\mu_4\beta\gamma\delta} , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\nu\rho\beta\gamma}] &= \delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\gamma\delta\epsilon} + 5\gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\beta\gamma\epsilon} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\rho\beta\gamma}] &= -\delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\nu Z^{\rho\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} , \\ \{Q_\delta, Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}\} &= -\frac{3}{10}\delta_\delta^\alpha \gamma_{\epsilon\kappa}^\mu Z^{\nu\beta\gamma\epsilon\kappa} - \frac{6}{5}\gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}] &= -\frac{3}{5}\delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\nu Z^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} , \\ [Q_\beta, Z^{\mu\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_4}] &= \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha_1} \gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\mu Z^{\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_4\gamma\delta} + \frac{7}{2}\gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\mu Z^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_4\gamma} , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}$ is self-dual. The arbitrary constants λ and τ that occur in (1) have now been absorbed into the definition of Z^α . This is possible because of the γ -matrix identities (16) and (17). As in the case of M-algebra, the generators Z^A can consistently be eliminated, by a redefinition of P_μ and a simple Wigner-İnönü contraction. P_μ and $Z^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_5}$ parametrize a symmetric 16×16 matrix: $10 + 126 = 136$. As in the case of the M-algebra, the generators Z^α and $Z^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_5}$ commute with all the other generators, except Lorentz generators. The commutation rules involving the Lorentz generators are the obvious ones, and therefore they have not been given above. It should be stressed that the M-algebra, as well as its ten dimensional heterotic truncation, can be extended by the inclusion of the Lorentz generators, with the usual commutation rules.

For completeness, we shall also give the Maurer-Cartan structure equations, which can easily be read off from (18):

$$\begin{aligned} de^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu , \\ de^\alpha &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
de'_\mu &= -\frac{1}{2}e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} , \\
de'_\alpha &= -e^\beta \wedge e^\mu \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} + e^\beta \wedge e'_\mu \gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + e^\beta \wedge e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} \gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5}_{\alpha\beta} , \\
de_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} &= -\frac{1}{2}e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5 \alpha\beta} , \\
de_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4 \alpha} &= e^\beta \wedge e^\tau \gamma_{\tau\mu_1 \dots \mu_4 \alpha\beta} + e^\beta \wedge e_{\tau\mu_1 \dots \mu_4} \gamma^\tau_{\alpha\beta} , \\
de_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}e^\sigma \wedge e^\tau \gamma_{\sigma\tau\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}e_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \wedge e^\sigma \gamma^\tau_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4}e_{\mu\nu\rho\tau\gamma} \wedge e^\gamma \gamma^\tau_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad - 2e_{\tau\mu\nu\rho\alpha} \wedge e^\gamma \gamma^\tau_{\beta\gamma} , \\
de_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} &= 2e^\sigma \wedge e_{\sigma\tau\mu\nu\alpha} \gamma^\tau_{\beta\gamma} + e^\delta \wedge e_{\tau\mu\nu\delta\alpha} \gamma^\tau_{\beta\gamma} + 5e^\delta \wedge e_{\tau\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^\tau_{\gamma\delta} \\
de_{\mu\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_4} &= -e^\nu \wedge e_{\mu\nu\tau\alpha_1\alpha_2} \gamma^\tau_{\alpha_3\alpha_4} - \frac{3}{10}e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\nu\gamma\alpha_1\alpha_2} \gamma^\nu_{\alpha_3\alpha_4} \\
&\quad - \frac{6}{5}e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\nu\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \gamma^\nu_{\alpha_4\gamma} \\
de_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5} &= -\frac{3}{5}e^\mu \wedge e_{\mu\nu\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \gamma^\nu_{\alpha_4\alpha_5} + e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\gamma\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \gamma^\mu_{\alpha_4\alpha_5} \\
&\quad + \frac{7}{2}e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_4} \gamma^\mu_{\alpha_5\gamma} , \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

where, as before, it is understood that the obvious symmetries of indices on the left hand side are to be implemented on the right hand side, with unit strength (anti) symmetrizations. The freedom in choosing the coefficients of the Z^α generators in the algebra (18) translates into the freedom of choice for all the coefficients on the right hand side of the equation for $de^{\alpha'}$.

The closed super-forms associated with the algebra (18) that can be used in the construction of the Wess-Zumino terms for strings and fivebranes in ten dimensions are:

$$H_3 = e^\mu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} + e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \left(e'_\mu \gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} + e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} \gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5}_{\alpha\beta} \right) , \tag{20}$$

$$H_7 = \frac{1}{5!} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_5} \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5 \alpha\beta} . \tag{21}$$

The associated potentials defined by $H_3 = dC_2$ and $H_7 = dC_6$ are given by

$$C_2 = -e^\alpha \wedge e'_\alpha , \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_6 = & \frac{1}{5! \times 77} \left(-\frac{77}{3} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_5} \wedge e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} + \frac{281}{6} e^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\mu_4} \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4 \alpha} \right. \\
& + \frac{104}{3} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\rho \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} - \frac{47}{6} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta \wedge e^\gamma \wedge e_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} \\
& \left. + 5 e^\mu \wedge e^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\alpha_4} \wedge e_{\mu\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_4} - \frac{5}{9} e^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\alpha_5} \wedge e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5} \right) . \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that every term in (20) is separately closed. Setting the last two terms equal to zero implies that the pull-back of C_2 , which can serve as a Wess-Zumino term, contains the new coordinates associated with Z^μ and $Z^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5}$ only in a total derivative term. Adding a standard kinetic term, this would then furnish a reformulation of the heterotic string. However, keeping the second or the third term in (20) implies that the new coordinates are no longer contained

in a total derivative term. New kinds of kinetic terms and new bosonic as well as fermionic symmetries would be needed in that case, to construct a novel string action.

In the case of superfivebrane, (21) shows that the dependence on all the new coordinates are indeed confined to a total derivative, and hence, the pull-back of (23), together with a standard kinetic term for the ordinary superspace coordinates, provides a reformulation of the usual superfivebrane action¹².

We now turn to the reduction down to six dimensions. Starting from eleven dimensions, the Lorentz group $SO(10,1)$ decomposes into $SO(5,1) \times Sp(2)$, where $Sp(2) \sim SO(5)$ is the diagonal subgroup of the automorphism group $Sp(2)_I \times Sp(2)_{II}$ of the $(2,2)$ Poincaré superalgebra in six dimensions. The 32 component supercharges of eleven dimensions decompose into a left-handed symplectic Majorana-Weyl charge $Q_{\alpha i}$, and a right-handed one Q_i^α , with $\alpha, i = 1, \dots, 4$. The translation generators of eleven dimensions decompose into P_μ and $P_{a'}$ with $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ and $a' = 1, \dots, 5$. Decomposing all the generators of the M-algebra accordingly, and keeping all of them, one obtains the M-extension of the $(2,2)$ Poincaré superalgebra in six dimensions. A chiral truncation of this algebra to $(2,0)$ supersymmetry can be achieved by keeping the following generators

$$(2,0) \text{ Algebra Generators : } \quad Q_A, \quad Z^A, \quad Z^{Aa'}, \quad Z^{ABCa'b'}, \quad (24)$$

where $Q_A = (Q_{\alpha i}, P_\mu)$. The $\{Q, Q\}$ anticommutator produces P_μ , five vector charges $Z^{\mu a'}$ and ten self-dual three-form charges $Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'}$, which parametrize a 16×16 symmetric matrix that has 136 components: $6 + 5 \times 6 + 10 \times 10 = 136$. The vectorial generator Z^μ is also produced, but it is really not an independent generator, since it can be absorbed into the definition of P_μ . Nonetheless, it is expected to play a significant role in the description of strings with winding states¹⁴. The remaining generators of the M-algebra with even number of Lorentz indices such as $P_{a'}$, $Z^{\mu\nu}$, $Z^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma a'}$, ... are projected out by chirality, and those with an odd number of vector indices are either projected out by duality/chirality properties of the γ -matrices or they can be redefined away as part of the generators already mentioned.

In order to verify that the generators (24) form a closed algebra, and that the remaining generators of the M-algebra decouple, one has to show that a suitable Wigner-Inönü contraction scheme exists. We have shown that this is indeed the case, by scaling the generators with suitable powers of a parameter and then taking the limit where this parameter vanishes. It is straightforward to read off the (anti) commutation rules of the resulting algebra from (1).

It is also possible to reduce the $(2,2)$ algebra described earlier to $(1,1)$ and $(1,0)$ algebras. The easiest way to do this is to start from (18) which

represents the chirally reduced version of the M-algebra in ten dimensions. We can proceed exactly as in the case of $11 \rightarrow 6$ reduction described above. Essentially one replaces $Sp(2)$ by $Sp(1)$ in the above discussion. To be more specific, the Lorentz group $SO(9, 1)$ decomposes into $SO(5, 1) \times SO(4)$, where $SO(4) \sim Sp(1)_I \times Sp(1)_{II}$ is the automorphism group of the $(1, 1)$ Poincaré superalgebra in six dimensions. The 16 component supercharges of ten dimensions decompose into a left-handed symplectic Majorana-Weyl charge $Q_{\alpha i}$, and a right-handed one Q_i^α , with $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$, $i = 1, 2$. The translation generators of ten dimensions decompose into P_μ and $P_{a'}$ with $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ and $a' = 1, \dots, 4$. Decomposing all the generators of the M-algebra accordingly, and keeping all of them, one obtains the M-extension of the $(1, 1)$ Poincaré superalgebra in six dimensions. A chiral truncation of this algebra to $(1, 0)$ supersymmetry can be achieved by keeping the following generators

$$(1, 0) \text{ Algebra Generators : } \quad Q_A, \quad Z^A, \quad Z^{ABCa'b'} . \quad (25)$$

The $\{Q, Q\}$ anticommutator produces P_μ , and a triplet of three-form charges $Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'}$, which parametrize an 8×8 symmetric matrix that has 36 components: $6 + 3 \times 10 = 36$. The generators Z^μ are also produced, but they can be absorbed into the definition of P_μ , as discussed earlier, at least locally. Note that $Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'}$ is self-dual in $SO(5, 1)$, and in $SO(4)$. All the generators of the algebra (18) that are outside the set (25) can be truncated by arguments parallel to those given above for the case of $(2, 2) \rightarrow (2, 0)$ reduction. Note, in particular, that the super two-form generators drop out. This procedure yields the following M-extension of the $(1, 0)$ Poincaré superalgebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu P_\mu + \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\mu + 10 (\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho a'b'})_{\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'} , \\ [P_\mu, Q_\alpha] &= \gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} Z^\beta - 6 (\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho a'b'})_{\alpha\beta} Z^{\nu\rho\beta a'b'} , \\ [P_\mu, P_\nu] &= 3 (\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho a'b'})_{\alpha\beta} Z^{\rho\alpha\beta a'b'} , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^\mu] &= -\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^\beta , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'}] &= -\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} Z^\beta + \frac{3}{5} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu Z^{\nu\rho\beta a'b'} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'}] &= \frac{3}{20} \delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu Z^{\rho\alpha\beta a'b'} , \\ \{Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\nu\beta a'b'}\} &= \frac{1}{8} \delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\mu Z^{\nu\gamma\delta a'b'} + \gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\mu Z^{\nu\beta\gamma a'b'} , \\ [P_\lambda, Z^{\mu\nu\beta a'b'}] &= \delta_\lambda^\mu \gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\nu Z^{\beta\gamma\delta a'b'} , \\ [Q_\alpha, Z^{\mu\beta\gamma a'b'}] &= \frac{1}{3} \delta_\alpha^\beta \gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\mu Z^{\gamma\delta\epsilon a'b'} + \frac{5}{3} \gamma_{\alpha\delta}^\mu Z^{\beta\gamma\delta a'b'} , \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

with the symmetries of the left hand side indices to be implemented on the right hand side, with unit strength, as usual. We have used a condensed notation

in which the index α stands for the pair αi with $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$, $i = 1, 2$. As for the γ -matrices, the following replacements are to be made: $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \rightarrow \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \epsilon_{ij}$ and $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu\rho a'b'} \rightarrow \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu\rho} \gamma_{ij}^{a'b'}$, where ϵ_{ij} is the $Sp(1)$ invariant tensor, and $\gamma_{ij}^{a'b'}$ is the self-dual projection of the $SO(4)$ generators.

To conclude, we have obtained the chiral reductions of the M-algebra down to the $(1,0)$ algebra in ten and the $(2,0)$ and $(1,0)$ algebras in six dimensions. These algebras contain various super p -form charges. The subset of these charges which arise in the anticommutator of the supercharges Q_α are known to be associated with super p -brane solitons that arise in the corresponding supergravity theories. It would be interesting to see if novel soliton solutions of six dimensional supergravities exist in which all the bosonic three-form charges that occur in the above algebra are activated. It is conceivable that they arise in certain compactifications of M-theory down to six dimensions.

The significance of the charges other than the supercharge Q_α that carry spinor indices is less clear at present. In the case of strings, the spinorial charge Z^α has been utilized⁷ in finding a Chern-Simons origin of the Green-Schwarz superstring action. It has also been used¹³ in a reformulation of the superstring which can be put on a lattice. An analogous reformulation of the supermembrane based on a truncated version of the M-algebra has already been found⁹. However, the role of the full M-algebra in a formulation of superfivebrane theory is yet to be discovered.

There are a number of interesting questions that are left open: Is there any connection between the M-algebra and the classified simple Lie superalgebras or their contractions thereof? Can the M-algebra be extended to $10 + 2$ dimensions? Is there a membrane-fivebrane duality symmetry in M-theory that can be understood at the level of the M-algebra? Is there a formulation of M-branes that makes a nontrivial use of the new coordinates associated with the new generators of the M-algebra, and if so, can these coordinates play a role in exploring the duality symmetries of M-theory? What is the ultimate role of the M-algebra in M-theory?

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant PHY-9411543.

References

- [1] J. Hughes and J. Polchinski, *Partially broken global supersymmetry and the superstring*, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 147.
- [2] J.A. de Azcárraga, J.P. Gauntlett, J.M. Izquierdo and P.K. Townsend, *Topological extensions of the supersymmetry algebra for extended objects*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **D63** (1989) 2443.
- [3] M.J. Duff and K.S. Stelle, *Multimembrane solutions of D=11 supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B253** (1991) 113.
- [4] R. Güven, *Black p-brane solutions of D=11 supergravity theory*, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1992) 49.
- [5] E. Sezgin, *The M-algebra*, hep-th/9609086.
- [6] R. D'Auria and P. Fré, *Geometric supergravity in D=11 and its hidden supergroup*, Nucl. Phys. **B201** (1982) 101.
- [7] M. Green, *Supertranslations, superstrings and Chern-Simons forms*, Phys. Lett. **B223** (1989) 157.
- [8] E. Bergshoeff and E. Sezgin, *New spacetime algebras and their Kac-Moody extension*, Phys. Lett. **B232** (1989) 96.
- [9] E. Bergshoeff and E. Sezgin, *Super p-branes and new spacetime superalgebras*, Phys. Lett. **B354** (1995) 256, hep-th/9504140.
- [10] E. Sezgin, *Super p-from charges and a reformulation of the supermembrane action in eleven dimensions*, in *Leuven Notes in Mathematical Physics, Series B, Vol. 6*, eds. B. de Wit et al, hep-th/9512082.
- [11] A. Achúcarro, J.M. Evans, P.K. Townsend and D.L. Wiltshire, *Super p-branes*, Phys. Lett. **198B** (1987) 441.
- [12] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P.K. Townsend, *Supermembranes and eleven-dimensional supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **189B** (1987) 75.
- [13] W. Siegel, *Randomizing the superstring*, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2799, hep-th/9403144.
- [14] P.K. Townsend, *p-brane democracy*, hep-th/9507048.